


GROUNDWATER BASIN UPDATE FOR MARCH 2021

GROUNDWATER BASINS AT A GLANCE*




* - Preliminary numbers, subject to change.

SUMMARY

Staff monitors groundwater conditions in the District's service area throughout the year. A summary of the latest information is presented below.

Precipitation (October 1 – March 1, 2021)

The WRD precipitation index reports that for the 2020-21 Water Year, there has been below average rainfall (4.57 inches) through March 1, 2021. The normal rainfall for this time period is 11.24 inches, so the District is 41% of normal. As of February 23, 2021, the U.S. Drought Monitor is reporting 99% of the State is abnormally dry, 85% under moderate, 57% under severe, 30% under extreme, and 4% exceptional drought conditions.



Snowpack (Snow Water Content [SWE] as of March 2, 2021)


In 1929, the State established the California Cooperative Snow Surveys Program with the California Department of Water Resources as the coordinator. Today, over 50 state, national, and private agencies collaborate in collecting snow data from over 300 snow courses with more than 60 of the courses being the original courses established in the early 1900's. The average snow course is 1,000 feet long and consist of about 10 sample points. Anywhere from two to six courses are measured per day depending on weather and access method.

The snow survey is completed using a snow sampling tube equipped with a cutter on the end that is driven through the snow measuring the depth and obtaining a snow core. The snow core is then weighed and the snow water content (or snow water equivalent) calculated. The surveys are completed throughout the winter by returning to the same sample points throughout the season to observe the changing conditions. From February through May the data is used by the State to forecast snow melt runoff. Many snow courses are only measured on or around April 1st, and since it is presumed that the snow accumulates up to April 1st and melts thereafter, April 1st is the benchmark for historic data comparisons.


<u> </u>	
NORTH	
Data For: 02-Mar-2021	
Number of Stations Reporting	30
Average snow water equivalent	16.0"
Percent of April 1 Average	56%
Percent of normal for this date	62%
L	
CENTRAL	
Data For: 02-Mar-2021	
Number of Stations Reporting	42
Average snow water equivalent	17.7"
Percent of April 1 Average	61%
Percent of normal for this date	69%
SOUTH	
Data For: 02-Mar-2021	
Number of Stations Reporting	29
Average snow water equivalent	10.0"
Percent of April 1 Average	39%
Percent of normal for this date	45%
Data For: 02-Mar-2021	
Number of Stations Reporting	101
Average snow water equivalent	15.0"
Percent of April 1 Average	54%
Percent of normal for this date	61%


Snow Water Equivalent (SWE):

Northern Sierra Nevada – 16.0 in., 62% of normal to date and 56% of April 1st average **Central Sierra Nevada** – 17.7 in., 69% of normal to date and 61% of April 1st average **Southern Sierra Nevada** – 10.0 in., 45% of normal to date and 39% of April 1st average **Statewide Summary** – 15.0 in., 61% of normal to date and 54% of April 1st average



Colorado Snow Survey (March 2, 2021)






Page 4 of 13

Reservoirs (as of March 2, 2021)

For all 16 reservoirs reported monthly to the committee, water levels have increased in 11 reservoirs compared to levels recorded in the previous month and decreased in 5 reservoirs. The largest increase (0.15 million acre feet) occurred at Lake Shasta. The smallest increased (<0.0 million acre feet) occurred at Lakes Melones and Millerton. The largest decrease (-0.42 million acre feet) occurred at Lake Powell. The smallest decrease (<-0.0 million acre feet) occurred at Lakes Perris and Silverwood.



These 16 reservoirs are at 43% capacity (31.13 million acre feet) which is up 0.16 million acre feet from the prior month (+0.49 million acre feet State Water Project [SWP] and -0.33 million acre feet Colorado River Aqueduct [CRA]).




Díd you know?

Círca 3000 BC, the craters of extinct volcanoes in Arabia were used as reservoirs by farmers for their irrigation water.

But how did they get the water out???

Groundwater Levels (through February 25, 2021)

Groundwater levels in key monitoring wells are shown in the hydrographs below.



Groundwater Level Changes in Key Wells

Well Name	Since Last Report	Since Same Time the Previous Year
Central Basin Key Well 1601T	Decreased 4.0 feet	Decreased 4.2 feet
Central Basin Key Well Long Beach #6_4	Decreased 4.8 feet	Decreased 37.1 feet
West Coast Basin Key Well Lawndale #1_4	Increased 0.2 foot	Decreased 0.4 foot
West Coast Basin Key Well Carson #1_2	Increased 0.2 foot	Increased 0.7 foot


Bold indicates a change in direction (decreasing or increasing) since the last report.

Optimum and Minimum Groundwater Quantity


In response to a 2002 State audit of the District's activities, the Board of Directors adopted an Optimum and Minimum Quantity for groundwater in the District to define an appropriate operating range that would sustain adjudicated pumping rights, leave room for future storage projects, and identify a lower limit. The amounts are based on the accumulated overdraft concept, which the District tracks year by year based on changes in groundwater storage.

After an extensive review of over 70 years of water level fluctuations and discussions with the Board and pumping community, Water Year 1999/2000 was recognized as a representative year for the Optimum Quantity, which equated to an accumulated overdraft of approximately 612,000 acre feet. The Minimum Quantity was defined as an accumulated overdraft of 900,000 acre feet, which allowed an operating range from 0 acre feet (minimum) to 288,000 acre feet (optimum). The Board also adopted a policy to make-up the groundwater deficit should the accumulated overdraft fall too far below the Optimum Quantity.

The Accumulated Overdraft as of February 25, 2021, has been estimated at 762,852 acre feet (subject to change), which is 137,148 acre feet above the Minimum Groundwater Quantity and 150,852 acre feet below the Optimum Quantity. The Basin is at 48% of Optimum Quantity which is 5% lower than what was reported last month (~15,400 AF lower).




Montebello Forebay Spreading Grounds (January 2021)



The following Chart shows the preliminary spreading grounds replenishment water:

No imported water purchases are planned for Fiscal Year 2020-21.

Local water (stormwater plus dry weather urban runoff) is captured by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works (LACDPW) at the spreading grounds for recharge. Local water amounts are determined as the sum of the total waters conserved at the spreading grounds less the imported and recycled water deliveries. For the 2020-21 Fiscal Year, approximately 25,986 acre feet of local water capture has been reported by the LACDPW as a result of summer releases from Morris Dam and precipitation in Water Year 2020-21.



Preliminary numbers for the 2020-21 Fiscal Year show that approximately 28,893 acre feet of recycled water has been recharged with 6,506 acre feet consisting of advanced treat water from the ARC AWTF and 22,387 acre feet of tertiary recycled water. Presuming the advanced treated water as "Null Water", the 120-month running average of the recycled water contribution in the Montebello Forebay is 39.2% and the regulatory maximum is 45%, with additional monitoring being required once 40% is reached.




Tertiary Recycle Water Permit Update

Following extensive collaboration between the District and LACSD, the Workplan required by the SWRCB - Division of Drinking Water (DDW) and LARWQCB regarding the use of tertiary treated recycled water at the Montebello Forebay Spreading Grounds was submitted on November 18, 2019.

Upon receipt of comments on the Workplan from the State of California, the District and LACSD will proceed with finalizing the preparation and submittal of the new Title 22 Engineering Report. In anticipation of receiving comments, staff continues to work collaboratively with the LACSD on developing the known components of the new Title 22 Engineering Report. A preliminary scoping meeting and a follow-up strategy meeting were held on November 26, 2019, and January 27, 2020, respectively. A follow-up meeting with the RWQCB to discuss some aspects of the Title 22 Engineering Report was held on December 8, 2020.


LACSD continues to work on two major studies needed for the new Title 22 Engineering Report – Biodegradable Dissolve Organic Carbon (BDOC) Study and Virus Logarithmic Reduction Value (LRV) Study. As the LACSD continues with the development of these studies they update the District during monthly project meetings. WRD staff and LACSD met with the LARWCDB and DDW on February 1, 2021, to discuss the BDOC Study. With the understanding that there is currently not an approved method for BDOC analysis, it was agreed WRD and LACSD will submit an enhanced monitoring plan in lieu of BDOC analysis once the recycled water contribution reaches 40%. LACSD is still working to schedule a separate meeting regarding the Virus LRV Study. The COVID pandemic has caused challenges with respect to performing the virus study and LACSD is reaching out to OCWD regarding the study they are considering.

Seawater Barrier Well Injection and Replenishment (January 2021)




The following Chart shows the barrier water injection:

Preliminary numbers for the 2020-21 Fiscal Year show that the West Coast Barrier has used 7,719 acre feet of the total 17,000 acre feet planned for injection, 45% of total for the Fiscal Year. The Dominguez Gap Barrier used 5,426 acre feet of the total 7,800 acre feet planned for injection, 70% of the total for the Fiscal Year. The Alamitos Barrier, on the WRD side, used 2,385 acre feet of the total 5,000 acre feet planned for injection, 48% of the total for the Fiscal Year.




Assessable Pumping (Fiscal Year January 2021)

Preliminary numbers for groundwater production in the District for the Fiscal Year 2020-21 (January 2021) indicate pumping in the Central Basin was up 510 acre feet from the same time of the previous fiscal year (+0.5%) and the West Coast Basin pumping was 3,138 acre feet higher than the previous fiscal year (+19.2%). The total pumping is 126,240 acre feet compared to 122,592 acre feet during the same time the previous year for an increase of 3,648 acre feet, or +3.0%. The current pumping data do not include five (5) Central Basin pumpers and three (3) West Coast Basin pumpers who have not yet reported for an estimated 630 additional acre feet.



Interesting... ...from 2010 to 2015, groundwater use in the United States increased by 8.3% while surface water use declined by 13.9%.

Preliminary numbers indicate 126,240 acre feet have been pumped this fiscal year and is 0.9 % below the projected goal of 127,374 acre feet (or -1,134 acre feet). Monthly actual production versus 7-year average monthly production projections (FY 2014 through 2020) are included in the chart below.



"When the well's dry, we know the worth of water." - Benjamin Franklin



For the Fiscal Year 2020-21 (July - January 2021), staff has tracked the production trends of the top five (5) producing pumpers and the bottom five (5) producing pumpers in each basin. These pumpers are identified in the following tables and are based on the change in volume (in acre feet) compared to the same time period for the previous Fiscal Year.

Production Trends - Central Basin					
Top 5 Producing <u>by Volume</u> (AF)	July – Jan. 2020	July – Jan. 2021	Difference	% Change	
California Water Service Company (East LA)	5,399.62	6,373.97	974.35	18.04%	
Long Beach, City of	17,904.58	18,493.40	588.82	3.29%	
Golden State Water Company	12,013.66	12,588.54	574.88	4.79%	
California American Water Company	652.93	1,148.54	495.61	75.91%	
Bell Gardens, City of	207.20	614.19	406.99	196.42%	
Bottom 5 Producing by Volume (AF)	July – Jan. 2020	July – Jan. 2021	Difference	% Change	
Liberty Utilities Corporation	5,046.57	3,067.48	-1,979.09	-39.22%	
Paramount, City of	3,427.12	1,994.90	-1,432.22	-41.79%	
Santa Fe Springs, City of	1,671.32	914.15	-757.17	-45.30%	
Commerce, City of	1,005.40	322.46	-682.94	-67.93%	
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	691.86	38.33	-653.53	-94.46%	

Production Trends – West Coast Basin					
Top 5 Producing <u>by Volume</u> (AF)	July – Jan. 2020	July – Jan. 2021	Difference	% Change	
Tesoro Refining & Marketing Co., LLC	2,668.84	4,637.32	1,968.48	73.76%	
Torrance, City of	2,388.08	3,445.79	1,057.71	44.29%	
Golden State Water Company	1,554.75	2,493.93	939.18	60.41%	
California Water Service Company	5.07	559.63	554.56	10,938%	
West Basin Brewer Desalter	84.14	425.65	341.51	405.88%	
Bottom 5 Producing by Volume (AF)	July – Jan. 2020	July – Jan. 2021	Difference	% Change	
California Water Service Co. (Dominguez)	2,262.39	1,576.69	-685.70	-30.31%	
Inglewood, City of	2,239.42	1,856.38	-383.04	-17.10%	
Torrance Refining & Marketing Company	544.70	385.88	-158.82	-29.16%	
California Water Service Co./Hawthorne Lease	411.86	271.63	-140.23	-34.05%	
Eco Services Operations, LLC	263.61	141.13	-122.48	-46.46%	

Water Replenishment District (WRD) publishes the Groundwater Basin Update (GWBU) monthly. All information contained herein is preliminary and is meant to be a snapshot the status of the basins at the time of publication and should not constitute an official WRD report. All the information presented in the GWBU utilizes the best available data at the time of publication. Data provided herein is a compilation of WRD data and publicly available information from several of our partners including, by not limited to, the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works - Stormwater Engineering Division, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, California Department of Water Resources, US Bureau of Reclamation, University of Nebraska - Lincoln, and the US Department of Agriculture - Natural Resources Conservation Service. The GWBU is prepared by Senior Hydrogeologist, Everett Ferguson, who can be contacted directly with questions at <u>eferguson@wrd.org</u>.